

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/617,996	Applicant(s) TURNBULL ET AL.
	Examiner Victor K. Hwang	Art Unit 3764

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Victor K. Hwang.

(3) _____.

(2) Mark Schneider.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 October 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 15 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for Examiner's amendment to clarify that the frame and base members in claims 1 and 10 are mounted to the floor as argued, and that the frame is positioned so that an individual may use the exercise apparatus while in the vehicle seat

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)